

NORTH DAKOTA FEDERAL LANDS ACCESS PROGRAM - **CYCLE 4** CALL FOR PROJECTS PROPOSAL EVALUATION PROCESS

The Programming Decisions Committee (PDC) of each state makes programming decisions. This is done in cooperation with Federal Land Management Agencies (FLMAs). The PDC of each state develops the evaluation criteria to meet the requirements of the FAST Act, FHWA guidance, and specific goals and requirements developed specifically for each state by the PDC. The following is the two part evaluation process that will be used for project proposals received in the North Dakota 2021 Call for Projects. In addition to this evaluation, Regional FLMA FLAP representatives⁽¹⁾ review applications, confirm if the site accessed is a high-use Federal recreation site or Federal economic generator, and share their project priorities in the state with the PDC. The PDC also considers this FLMA input in determining the final shortlist of projects.

PART A - ELIGIBILITY EVALUATION: This section determines if the project proposal meets minimum requirements to be eligible for FLAP funding. The proposal must have a 'Yes' answer to all of the following questions to be considered for evaluation for funding.				
A.1	Federal lands access	Is the project located on a Federal Lands Access Transportation Facility (FLATF)? ⁽²⁾		YES or NO
A.2	Project type	Is the proposed project an eligible project type? ⁽³⁾		YES or NO
A.3	Title or maintenance responsibility	Is the title or maintenance responsibility vested in a State, county, town, township, tribal, municipal, or local government? ⁽⁴⁾		YES or NO
A.4	Endorsed by FLMA	Is there evidence that the applicant cooperatively engaged with the respective FLMA and the FLMA supports and endorses the project? ⁽⁵⁾		YES or NO
A.5	Non-federal share	Application shows that the applicant or partner can provide funding to meet the minimum match requirements for the program? ⁽⁶⁾		YES or NO
IS THIS PROJECT ELIGIBLE FOR THE FEDERAL LANDS ACCESS PROGRAM? (<u>Answer must be YES to all questions above</u>)				YES or NO
Part B- FLMA High-Use Federal Recreation or Federal Economic Generator Site Confirmation				
B.1	FLMA High-Use Rec Site or Economic Generator	Does the FLMA acknowledge that the site accessed is considered a high-use federal recreation site or federal economic generator?		YES or NO
Part C- FLAP AREAS OF ASSESSMENT EVALUATION Each project proposal is evaluated against criteria established by the ND PDC based on the goals of the FLAP program.				
C.1	Program vision	Does the overall project meet the vision of the Federal Lands Access Program?	10	<div style="background-color: yellow; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Meets vision of program (10)</div> <div style="background-color: yellow; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Does not meet vision of program (0)</div>
C.2A	Federal lands access proximity and significance	What is the proximity of the project to the federal lands? What is the significance if access through the project area was lost or not provided? Is the FLATF (project area) the only access to the federal lands?	10	<div style="background-color: yellow; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Close proximity, highly significant facility, and only access to federal lands (10)</div> <div style="background-color: yellow; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Far from federal lands, low significance, and multiple accesses to federal lands (0)</div>
C.2B	Improves public access	Will the project result in improving access to the federal lands for motorized, non-motorized, emergency vehicles, and/or transit users?	10	<div style="background-color: yellow; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Improves access for 3 types of users (10)</div> <div style="background-color: yellow; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Improves access for 2 types of users (7)</div> <div style="background-color: yellow; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Improves access for 1 type of user (4)</div> <div style="background-color: yellow; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Does not improve access for any users (0)</div>

Part C- FLAP AREAS OF ASSESSMENT EVALUATION (continued)

Each project proposal is evaluated against criteria established by the ND PDC based on the goals of the FLAP program.

C.3	High-use recreation site and/or Federal economic generator	Are the federal lands accessed considered a high-use recreation site and/or a federal economic generator? ⁽⁷⁾	10	Very high-use rec site and/or fed economic generator (10)
				High-use rec site and/or fed economic generator (7)
				Medium-use rec site and/or fed economic generator (5)
				Low-use rec site and/or fed economic generator (3)
				Not a rec site or economic generator (0)
C.4	Safety improvement	Will the project result in improved safety for users?	10	Improves safety for wide range of users (10)
				Improves safety for some users (5)
				Does not improve safety for any users (0)
C.5	Preservation	Will the project improve the structural capacity or PCI of a roadway structural section, deficiency rating of a bridge, and/or the size or load limit of a facility? Will the project reduce the future maintenance costs for the FLATF? ⁽⁸⁾	5	Large improvement (5)
				Some improvement (2)
				No improvement(0)
C.6	Sustainability and Environmental Quality Benefits	Will the project reduce pollution, improve wildlife connectivity, protect or improve wildlife habitats, protect a watershed, or provide other sustainability or environmental quality benefits?	5	Large improvement (5)
				Some improvement (3)
				No improvement(0)
C.7	Coordination	Does the application and/or support letters demonstrate coordination with stakeholders via outreach or planning effort/documents/processes? Does the application demonstrate the FLMA is ready for the improved or new access proposed?	10	Extensive coordination (10)
				Some coordination (5)
				No coordination (0)
C.8	Project cost and scope risk	Does the overall project scope and cost seem reasonable? Does the project have a high probability of delay due to environmental issues, ROW issues, utility impacts, or other issues? Has the applicant accounted for these risks in the cost estimate and project proposal?	0	Unreasonable scope/cost or other large risk items not accounted for(-5)
				Imprecise scope/cost or other medium risk items not accounted for (-3)
				No Risk (0)
C.9	Overmatch/leveraging of funds	Is the project providing match over the minimum required of 19.07%? ⁽⁹⁾	5	Overmatch by 15% + (5)
				Overmatch by 10% + (4)
				Overmatch by 5% + (3)
				Overmatch by 3% + (2)
				Overmatch by 1% + (1)
				No Overmatch (0)
			75	TOTAL POINTS

Notes

(1) Regional FLMA FLAP representatives are designated by each FLMA's National Federal Lands Transportation Program Coordinator/Point of Contact.

(2) FLATF is defined as "a public highway, road, bridge, trail, or transit system that is located on, is adjacent to, or provides access to Federal lands."

(3) Eligible project types include:

- a. transportation planning, research, engineering, preventive maintenance, rehabilitation, restoration, construction, and reconstruction, and:
 - i. adjacent vehicular parking areas;
 - ii. acquisition of necessary scenic easements and scenic or historic sites;
 - iii. provisions for pedestrians and bicycles;
 - iv. environmental mitigation in or adjacent to Federal land to improve public safety and reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality while maintaining habitat connectivity;
 - v. construction and reconstruction of roadside rest areas, including sanitary and water facilities; and
 - vi. other appropriate public road facilities, as determined by the Secretary.
- b. operation and maintenance of transit facilities.
 - i. includes the operation of all components of a transit system, including the acquisition of public transportation vehicles.
 - ii. applies solely to transit facilities.
- c. any transportation project eligible for assistance under title 23, United States Code, that is within or adjacent to, or that provides access to, Federal land.
 - i. includes transit capital projects eligible under chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, that are also eligible under title 23.

(4) Includes letter committing the local agency to formalizing title or maintenance responsibility prior to construction.

(5) Signed support form and/or letter of support from the FLMA.

(6) ND FLAP requires a minimum of 19.07% cash match.

(7) Recreation site is any site that can be used by the public to recreate on federal public lands. High-use is relative to the region and/or local area and the relative use the site gets per the local and/or regional population base.

Federal economic generator refers to any use of/on federal lands that results in economy generation for the local community and/or region. FLMA regional representatives are also asked to confirm the site is considered a high-use recreation site for their agency.

(8) The Pavement Condition Index (PCI) is a numerical index between 0 and 100 which is used to indicate the general condition of a pavement. The method is based on a visual survey of the number and types of distresses in a pavement. 100 represents the best possible condition and 0 represents the worst possible condition.

(9) Overmatch is all types of cash match above 19.07%