PLANNING/PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT

US Route 1 Corridor at Marine Corps Base Quantico
Planning/Preliminary Engineering Study

March 2013
©Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division

Prepared By

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

In Coordination with

VDOT Virginia Department of Transportation

PLANNING/PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT

US Route 1 Corridor at Marine Corps Base Quantico Planning/Preliminary Engineering Study

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Eastern Federal Lands Division

Project Manager:

Jack Van Dop, FHWA Project Director
Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166

Prepared by:

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

In Conjunction with:

Cordell & Crumley
COMMUNICATION STRATEGISTS

CCR Coastal Carolina Research

With Cooperation from:

Marine Corps Base Quantico

Prince William County

Stafford County

VDOT Virginia Department of Transportation

Note: This study was prepared under contract with Stafford County, Virginia and the Federal Highway Administration with financial support from the Office of Economic Adjustment, Department of Defense. The content reflects the views of Stafford County, Prince William County, and the Federal Highway Administration and does not necessarily reflect the views of the Office of Economic Adjustment.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents i
Appendices v
Figures v
Tables vi
List of Acronyms vii
Executive Summary 1
Chapter 1. Introduction 12
1.1 Study Area 12
1.2 Background and Need 12
1.3 Project Function 13
1.4 Coordinated Planning 14
Chapter 2. Existing Conditions 17
2.1 Traffic and Transportation 17
2.1.1 Description of Study Area Roads 17
2.1.2 Access Management 20
2.1.3 Crash History 22
2.1.4 Traffic Volumes 25
2.1.5 Analysis Tool Selection Process 31
2.1.5.1 Selection Criteria *32*
2.1.5.2 Criteria Weights *33*
2.1.5.3 Simulation and Analysis Tool Selection *33*
2.1.6 Intersection Capacity Analysis 35
2.1.6.1 Synchro Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) Analysis *36*
2.1.6.2 VISSIM Microsimulation Analysis *40*
2.1.7 Queue Analysis 43
2.1.8 VISSIM Microsimulation Analysis 43
2.2 Environmental 46
2.2.1 Land Use 46
2.2.2 Farmland 46
2.2.3 Water Resources 52
2.2.3.1 Wetlands *52*
2.2.3.2 Floodplains *54*
2.2.3.3 Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act *54*
2.2.4 Wildlife and Habitat 54
2.2.5 Historic Resources 55
2.2.6 Parks and Recreation 56
2.2.7 Section 4(f) and 6(f) 59

2.2.8 Community Facilities and Services 59
2.2.9 Air Quality 59
2.2.10 Noise 60
2.2.11 Environmental Justice 62
2.2.12 Hazardous Materials 63
2.3 Existing Conditions Conclusions 63
Chapter 3. Traffic Volume Forecasting 65
3.1 Regional Travel Demand Model Evaluation and Selection 65
3.1.1 Available Models 65
3.1.2 Model Evaluation 65
3.1.3 Model Selection 66
3.2 Traffic Volume Forecasting Methodology 70
3.2.1 Model Validation and Adjustment 70
3.2.2 Link Traffic Growth Methodology 70
3.2.3 Intersection Turning Movement Volume Development Methodology 70
3.2.4 Manual Volume Adjustment Methodology for Approved Land Use Plans 70
3.3 Future Traffic Volume Forecasts 72
3.4 Conclusions 72
Chapter 4. No-Build Conditions and Analysis 77
4.1 Introduction 77
4.2 Planned & Programmed Projects 77
4.2.1 US Route 1 Improvements from Joplin Road to Brady's Hill Road 77
4.2.2 Fuller Road/Fuller Heights Road Intersection Improvements 77
4.2.3 Heritage Center Parkway 77
4.2.4 Russell Road DAR Improvements 78
4.2.5 George Mason Drive Realignment 78
4.2.6 Telegraph Road DAR Improvements 78
4.3 No-Build Conditions 79
4.3.1 Signalized Intersections 79
4.3.2 Unsignalized Intersections 79
4.4 No-Build Intersection Capacity Analysis 81
4.4.1 Synchro/HCM Results 81
4.4.1.1 Signalized Intersections 81
4.4.1.2 Unsignalized Intersections 82
4.5 No-Build Queue Analysis 86
4.5.1 Synchro Queue Analysis 86
4.5.1.1 Signalized Intersections 86
4.6 Conclusions 87
Chapter 5. Alternatives Analysis 89

5.1 Overview and Introduction 89
5.2 US Route 1 89
5.2.1 Preliminary Concepts 89
5.2.1.1 Cross-Sectional 89
5.2.1.2 Intersection 89
5.2.1.3 Management 89
5.2.1.4 Non-Vehicular 90
5.2.2 Screening Methodology 90
5.2.2.1 Operations – Link Capacity 91
5.2.2.2 Operations 92
5.2.2.3 Potential Impacts 93
5.2.2.4 Construction Cost 94
5.2.3 Screening Results 94
5.3 US Route 1/Russell Road Interchange 95
5.3.1 Preliminary Concepts 95
5.3.2 Screening Methodology 101
5.3.3 Screening Results 101
5.4 Conclusions 102
Chapter 6. 2040 Build Conditions and Preliminary Analysis 104
6.1 US Route 1 104
6.1.1 Build Scenario 1 104
6.1.2 Build Scenario 2 104
6.1.3 Geometric Considerations 104
6.1.4 Preliminary Analysis 109
6.2 US Route 1/Russell Road Interchange 114
6.2.1 Traffic Operations Analysis (Synchro/HCM) 114
6.3 Conclusions 116
6.3.1 US Route 1 116
6.3.2 Russell Road 117
Chapter 7. Recommended Alternative 118
7.1 Summary of Analysis 118
7.1.1 Operational Considerations 118
7.1.2 Property and Environmental Considerations 118
7.1.2.1 Potential Alternative Alignments of US Route 1 at Boswell's Corner 120
7.2 2040 Build Conditions 123
7.2.1 General Cross-Section 123
7.2.1.1 Telegraph Road to George Mason Drive 123
7.2.1.2 George Mason Drive to Joplin Road/Fuller Road 123
7.2.2 Major Study Area Intersections 126

7.2.3 Surrounding Intersections 132
7.2.4 Accommodations for Transit and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) 133
7.3 Traffic Operations Analysis (VISSIM) 133
7.4 Moving Forward 137
7.4.1 Stafford County 137
7.4.2 Prince William County 137
7.4.3 MCB Quantico 138
7.5 Conclusions 138
Chapter 8. Environmental Consequences 140
8.1 Land Use 140
8.2 Farmland 140
8.3 Water Resources 140
8.3.1 Wetlands 140
8.3.2 Floodplains 141
8.3.3 Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act 142
8.4 Wildlife and Habitat 142
8.5 Historic Resources 143
8.6 Parks and Recreation 143
8.7 Section 4(f) and 6(f) 144
8.8 Community Facilities and Services 145
8.9 Air Quality 145
8.10 Noise 145
8.11 Environmental Justice 145
8.12 Hazardous Materials 146
8.13 Conclusion 146
Chapter 9. Outreach Summary 147
9.1 Introduction 147
9.2 Stakeholder Involvement 147
9.2.1 Stakeholder Meetings 147
9.2.2 Stakeholder Comment 148
9.3 Public Involvement 149
9.3.1 Public Outreach Methods 149
9.3.2 Citizen Informational Meetings (CIMs) 150
9.3.3 Public Comment 150
9.4 Conclusions 151
Chapter 10. Conclusions 152
10.1 Recommended Roadway Configuration 152
10.2 Pedestrian and Bicycle Accommodations 152
10.3 Transit and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Accommodations 153

10.4 Potential Environmental Impacts 153
10.5 Moving Forward 153

Appendices

A. Roadway Conceptual Design Plans
B. Summary of Reviewed Plans & Studies
C. Existing Turning Movement Counts
D. Existing Daily Vehicle Traffic Counts
E. Synchro Analysis Detailed Results
F. VISSIM Analysis Detailed Results
G. Environmental Resources
H. Stakeholder Outreach Materials
I. Public Outreach Materials

Figures

Figure ES-1: Study Area Map 3
Figure ES-2: Russell Road Alternative E 6
Figure ES-3: Russell Road Alternative A 6
Figure ES-4: Russell Road Alternative G 7
Figure ES-5: Typical Section – Proposed Six-Lane Cross Section 9
Figure ES-7: Stafford County - Conceptual Rendering of US Route 1 at Boswell's Corner 11
Figure 1-1: Study Area Map 16
Figure 2-1: 2011 Existing Lane Configuration 19
Figure 2-2: 2011 Existing US Route 1 Access Map 21
Figure 2-3: US Route 1 Historic Crash Data (2008-2010) 22
Figure 2-4: US Route 1 Historic Crash Data by Location and Type (2008-2010) 23
Figure 2-5: Existing Traffic Volumes 28
Figure 2-6: 2011 AM Peak Hour Volumes 29
Figure 2-7: 2011 PM Peak Hour Volumes 30
Figure 2-8: 2011 Existing Delay/LOS (Synchro) 39
Figure 2-9: 2011 Existing Delay/LOS (VISSIM) 42
Figure 2-10: 2011 Existing Queue Lengths 45
Figure 2-11: Prince William County Long Range Land Use Map 47
Figure 2-12: Stafford County Existing Land Use 48
Figure 2-13: Stafford County Future Land Use 49
Figure 2-14: Boswell's Corner Master Redevelopment Plan 50
Figure 2-15: Farmland 51
Figure 2-16: Water Resources 53
Figure 2-17: Parks, Recreation, Section 4(f), and Community Facilities 58
Figure 2-18: Noise and Environmental Justice 61
Figure 3-1: Regional Travel Demand Model Highway Network 67
Figure 3-2: Study Area Travel Demand Model Highway Network 68
Figure 3-3: Travel Demand Model Adjustments 71
Figure 3-4: 2018 AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 73
Figure 3-5: 2018 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 74
Figure 3-6: 2040 AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 75

Figure 3-7: 2040 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 76
Figure 4-1: No-Build - Lane Configuration 80
Figure 4-2: 2018 No-Build HCM Delay and LOS by Lane Group 84
Figure 4-3: 2040 No-Build HCM Delay and LOS by Lane Group 85
Figure 5-1: US Route 1/Russell Road Interchange Concepts (A-D) 99
Figure 5-2: US Route 1/Russell Road Interchange Concepts (E-F) 100
Figure 6-1: Forecast 2040 AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 106
Figure 6-2: Forecast 2040 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 107
Figure 6-3: 2040 Build Scenario 1 – Lane Configuration 108
Figure 6-4: 2040 Build Scenario 2 – Lane Configuration 109
Figure 6-5: 2040 Build Scenario 1 – HCM Delay and LOS by Lane Group 111
Figure 6-6: 2040 Build Scenario 2 – HCM Delay and LOS by Lane Group 112
Figure 7-1: 2040 Recommended Build Scenario Lane Configuration 119
Figure 7-2: 2040 US Route 1 Alternative Alignment at Boswell's Corner Option 1 (West) 121
Figure 7-3: US Route 1 Alternative Alignment at Boswell's Corner Option 2 (East) 122
Figure 7-5: Proposed Recommended US Route 1 Cross Section – George Mason Drive to Joplin Road/Fuller Road 125
Figure 7-6: US Route 1 at Joplin Road/Fuller Road 126
Figure 7-7: US Route 1 at Museum of the Marine Corps Entrance 127
Figure 7-8: US Route 1 at Heritage Center Parkway 128
Figure 7-9: US Route 1 at Russell Road 129
Figure 7-10: US Route 1 at Corporate Drive 130
Figure 7-11: US Route 1 at George Mason Drive 131
Figure 7-12: US Route 1 at Telegraph Road 131
Figure 7-13: 2040 Level of Service and Delay by Movement 135
Figure 7-14: 2040 Build Scenario Queuing Results (VISSIM) 136

Tables

Table ES-1: Summary of Existing 2011 and Future No-Build Intersection Capacity – Signalized Intersections (Synchro HCM Report) 4
Table ES-2: US Route 1 Signalized Intersection Capacity Results (Synchro/HCM) 5
Table 2-1: Analysis Tool Selection Summary 34
Table 2-2: HCM Level of Service Delay Thresholds 35
Table 2-3: Summary of Existing 2011 Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Signalized Intersections (Synchro HCM Report) 37
Table 2-4: Existing 2011Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Unsignalized Intersections (Synchro HCM Report) 38
Table 2-5: Summary Existing 2011 Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Signalized Intersections (VISSIM) 40
Table 2-6: Summary of Existing 2011 Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Unsignalized Intersections (VISSIM) 41
Table 2-7: Acres/Linear Feet of Wetlands and WOUS Within Study Area by Type 52
Table 2-8: Percentage of Minorities and Individuals Below Poverty within the US Route 1 Quantico Study Area in 2010 62
Table 3-1: Travel Demand Model Volume Comparison 69
Table 4-1: Summary of Existing 2011 and Future No-Build Intersection Capacity – Signalized Intersections (Synchro HCM Report) 82

Table 4-2: Summary of Existing 2011 and Future 2040 No-Build Intersection Capacity - Unsignalized Intersections (Synchro HCM Report) 83
Table 4-3: 2018 No-Build Conditions Queuing Summary 86
Table 4-4: 2040 No-Build Conditions Queuing Summary 87
Table 5-1: Preliminary Build Concepts – US Route 1 90
Table 5-2: Preliminary Screening Criteria and Weighting Factors – US Route 1 91
Table 5-3: FDOT Class I Arterial LOS Table 91
Table 5-4: FDOT Uninterrupted Flow Highways LOS Table 92
Table 5-5: Preliminary Screening Matrix – US Route 1 96
Table 5-6: US Route 1 and Russell Road Concepts 98
Table 5-7: Preliminary Screening Matrix – US Route 1/Russell Road Interchange 102
Table 6-1: US Route 1 Signalized Intersection Capacity Results (Synchro/HCM) 110
Table 6-2: Signalized Intersection Volume to Capacity Ratios & Queuing 113
Table 6-3: US Route 1/Russell Road Interchange Operational Comparison 115
Table 7-1: US Route 1 Signalized Intersection Capacity Results (VISSIM) 134
Table 7-2: US Route 1 Study Comparison 138
Table 8-1: Potential Impacts to Wetlands and WOUS within Study Area by Type 140
Table 8-2: Section 4(f) and Historic Resources 144

List of Acronyms

ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
APE	Area of Potential Effect
BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure
CBPA	Chesapeake Bay Protection Act
CCR	Coastal Carolina Research
CIM	Citizen Information Meeting
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program
DCR	Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
DEQ	Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
DGIF	Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries
DHR	Virginia Department of Historic Resources
DOT	Department of Transportation
EFLHD	Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FAMPO	Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual

HOV	High-Occupancy Vehicle
LOS	Level of Service
LWCFA	Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965
MCB Quantico	Marine Corps Base Quantico
MWCOG	Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAC	Noise Abatement Criteria
NCHRP	National Cooperative Highway Research Project
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHD	National Hydrography Dataset
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
PEM	Palustrine Emergent
PFO	Palustrine Forested
PNA	Protected Natural Area
POW	Palustrine Open Water
PSS	Palustrine Scrub-Shrub
RMA	Resource Management Area
RPA	Resource Protection Area
RSTP	Regional Surface Transportation Program
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
TAZ	Traffic Analysis Zone
USACE	US Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	US Department of Agriculture
USFWS	US Fish and Wildlife Service
USM	Unified Stream Methodology
VDOT	Virginia Department of Transportation
VISSIM	(VISSIM) Traffic Microsimulation Software
WOUS	Waters of the US